

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,067		06/25/2003	Yoshiyuki Ando	YA05	1066
27797	7590	01/11/2006	EXAMINER		
RICHARD		RLE	GROSSO, HARRY A		
1711 W. RIVER RD. GRAND ISLAND, NY 14072		Y 14072		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3727	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ch	
YU	

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/604,06	7	ANDO, YOSHIYU	KI				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Harry A. G	rosso	3727				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communi Reply	cation app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on 19 Oc	ctober 2005	i.					
· —	•		action is no						
, —		for allowan	ce except 1	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-7,10,11,14,15 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8,9,12,13,16-18 and 20</u> is/are rejected.								
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.								
• ——	laim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or	election re	quirement.					
Application	n Papers								
9\□ TI	ne specification is objected to by the	e Examine	r.						
,—	ne drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2003			d or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	pplicant may not request that any object								
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1.121(d).			
	ne oath or declaration is objected to								
•—	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
•	_	for foreign	anianity una	lor 25 11 C C & 110/o) (d) or (f)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/604,067 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of species 5, Figure 6, claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16-18 and 20, in the reply filed on October 19, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 3-7, 10, 11, 14, and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

The examiner has withdrawn claim 15 because it is dependent on withdrawn claim 14.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 8, 9, 12, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Borin (3,858,767).
- 5. Borin discloses a plastic container (column 1, line 39) with a lip (20, Figures 1-5) and vertical projections (36) with a horizontal upper surface that would prevent the lip from contacting a surface on which the container is inverted. There are two projections separated by the lip and a slot (70). The cross-section of the container is a circle.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,067 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borin.

 Borin discloses the invention except for the height of the projection. Applicant requires a height of about 1 to about 3 mm above the lip but does not discloses that these dimensions are for any particular purpose or solve any stated problem. The container of Borin would be inherently capable of performing in the same manner as the container of claim 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of a projection with a height of about 1 to about 3 mm in the container disclosed by Borin to provide it with adequate height to prevent the lip from contacting the surface. In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F. 2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of the relative dimensions of a claimed device and a device having claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device.
- 8. Claims 2 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borin in view of Florian (4,049,187).

Application/Control Number: 10/604,067

Art Unit: 3727

9. Regarding claim 2, Borin discloses the invention of claim 2 except for a handle. Florian discloses a plastic cup with a handle (Figures 1-6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of a handle as disclosed by Florian in the cup disclosed by Borin to provide for a better way to hold the cup.

Page 4

- 10. Regarding claim 18, Borin discloses the invention except for the handle and the height of the projection. Florian disclosed the use of the handle as discussed in paragraph 9 and the height of the projections is addressed in paragraph 7 above.
- 11. Claim 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borin. Borin discloses a container that can be considered a drinking glass with a circular cross section, a sealed base, an open top, a lip and projections as discussed above. Borin does not teach the height of the projections. Applicant requires a height of about 1 to about 3 mm above the lip but does not discloses that these dimensions are for any particular purpose or solve any stated problem. The container of Borin would be inherently capable of performing in the same manner as the container of claim 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the use of a projection with a height of about 1 to about 3 mm in the container disclosed by Borin to provide it with adequate height to prevent the lip from contacting the surface. In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F. 2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (fed. Cir. 1984), *cert. denied*, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of the relative dimensions of a claimed device and a device having

Art Unit: 3727

claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry A. Grosso whose telephone number is 571-272-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

han Newhouse

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3727

hag